

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispio.gov

A PRI IO A TIONI NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FIERO DATE		204552018400	6872	
09/598,384	06/21/2000	Yasuaki Hirano	204332018400	0072	
75	90 02/06/2003				
Barry E. Bretschneider			EXAMINER		
Morrison & Foo 1650 Tysons Bl	erster LLP		PHAN, TRONG Q		
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/598,384

Applicant(s)

HIRANO

Examiner

TRONG PHAN

Art Unit 2818

Therefor rejection	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address PLY FILED Jan 22, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final nunder 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) 🔀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exter appr set in	see MPEP 706.07(f). nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate nsion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The nsion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The opriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally option fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final rejection; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ingle date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
,	VOTE.
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🕱	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached explanation.
	With and disposed SQLELV to issues which were newly raised
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🗆	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🗆	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved by the Examiner is a) approved or b) approved by the Examiner is a) approved by the Examiner is a proved by the Examiner is a proved by the Examiner
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) TRONG PHAN
10. 🗆	Other: PRIMARY EXAMINE

Application/Control Number: 09/598,384 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's request for consideration filed on 1/22/03 has been fully considered but it is NOT persuasive to place the application in condition for allowance because of the following reasons:

- a) claims 5-6 clearly recite the feature of "an absolute value of the non-select voltage is not larger than an absolute value of the negative voltage applied to the substrate or well of the nonvolatile semiconductor storage device". The phrase "is not larger" is totally entitled to both "equal to" or "smaller than". Furthermore, the specification also discloses in lines 1-4 of page 18 that the absolute value of the non-select voltage applied to the non-select word lines is smaller than the an absolute value of the voltage applied to the substrate (well). However, the specification does provide an adequate support only for "equal to" which is seen as the same voltage of -8.0 Volts as disclosed throughout the specification. Meanwhile, the specification does not provide any support for feature of "smaller than".
 - b) Therefore, the FINAL rejection of claims 3-6 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as set forth in the office action of 10/23/021, paper # 14, is totally proper and is sustained.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,384 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-4870 and email address is trong.phan@uspto.gov

TRONG PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 4, 2003